## 

1 2 3	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ARIN C. HEINZ Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721	
5	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8 9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	LASTERIV DIST	MCI OF CALIFORNIA
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00090-NODJ-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME
13	v.	ORDER
14	JESUS RAMSES COTA VERDUGO,	Date: September 27, 2023
15 16	Defendant.	Time: 1:00 p.m. Honorable Barbara A. McAuliffe
17	The United States of America, by and through Phillip A. Talbert, United States Attorney, and	
18	Arin C. Heinz, Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendant, by and through Mr. Patrick S.	
19	Aguirre, his attorney of record, hereby stipulate to continue the status conference in this case from	
20	December 13, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. until February 28, 2024.	
21	The Supreme Court has emphasized that the Speedy Trial Act's end-of-justice provision	
22	"counteract[s] substantive open endedness with procedural strictness," "demand[ing] on-the-record	
23	findings" in a particular case. Zedner v. United States, 547 U.S. 489, 509 (2006). "[W]ithout on-the-	
24	record findings, there can be no exclusion under" § 3161(h)(7)(A). <i>Id.</i> at 507. And moreover, any such	
25	failure cannot be harmless. <i>Id.</i> at 509; see also United States v. Ramirez-Cortez, 213 F.3d 1149, 1153	
26	(9th Cir. 2000) (explaining that a judge ordering and ends-of-justice continuance must set forth explicit	
27	findings on the record "either orally or in writing").	
28	Ends-of-justice continuances are excludal	ble only if "the judge granted such continuance on the

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE

18 19

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Moreover, no such period is excludable unless "the court sets forth, in the record of the case, either orally or in writing, its reason or finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." *Id*.

This Court should consider the following case-specific facts in finding excludable delay appropriate in this particular case under the ends-of-justice exception, § 3161(h)(7) (Local Code T4). If continued, this Court should designate a new date for the status conference. United States v. Lewis, 611 F.3d 1172, 1176 (9th Cir. 2010) (noting any pretrial continuance must be "specifically limited in time").

The parties request that time be excluded between December 13, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. until February 28, 2024 for the following reasons:

- 1. On May 12, 2023 Mr. Aguirre was appointed to represent Mr. Verdugo. Discovery was electronically produced to Mr. Aguirre on May 17, 2023. Mr. Aguirre had technical issues with accessing the discovery provided and additional troubleshooting was required for him to access the discovery. On May 24, 2023, Mr. Aguirre sent a discovery letter to the United States and the United States reviewed and responded. The discovery in this case consists of investigative reports, an interview of the defendant, bodycam, and photos. Its total size is 7 GB.
- 2. Mr. Aguirre has met with his client and is continuing to review and assess the discovery. In addition, he requires additional time to do his own investigation into the charges in the indictment.
- 3. The Government is in the process of provided additional discovery to the defense that the Government received from state law enforcement on October 13, 2023. The discovery consists of three extractions from the defendant's phones that were recovered from his person, and jail call recordings the defendant has made while in custody. The size of the discovery is 80 GB. Defense counsel is in the process of reviewing these phone extractions.
- 4. The Government provided a defense with a plea offer and the parties are in active

## Case 1:23-cr-00090-NODJ-BAM Document 23 Filed 12/06/23 Page 3 of 4

discussions regarding potential resolution of this case.

- 5. The Government does not oppose the requested continuance.
- 6. The proposed status conference date represents the earliest date that counsel is available thereafter, taking into account counsel's schedule and commitments to other clients, and the need for preparation in the case and further investigation.

The parties further believe that time should be excluded, in that failure to grant the requested case schedule would unreasonably deny the defendants continuity of counsel, and unreasonably deny both the defendants and the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the parties' due diligence in prosecuting this case. 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by the schedule as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act. Therefore, the parties request that the Court exclude the time until the new hearing date from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated: December 6, 2023	PHILLIP A TALBERT
	United States Attorney

/s/ Arin C. Heinz
ARIN C. HEINZ
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: December 6, 2023 /s/Patrick S. Aguirre

PATRICK S. ÅGUIRRE Attorney for Defendant Verdugo

1 2

**ORDER** 

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status conference in this case be continued from December 13, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. until **February 28, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe.** 

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the ends of justice served by the schedule set forth herein as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act for the reasons stated in the parties' stipulation. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 13, 2023, at 1:00 p.m. until February 28, 2024 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the parties' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **December 6, 2023** 

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliff INITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE